Invasive Placentation – Comparison between Ultrasound - Colour Doppler and Magnetic Resonance Imaging
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INTRODUCTION
Histopathological diagnosis of invasive placenta is possible only after delivery. Accurate prenatal identification of placental invasion allows for optimal timing and site of delivery, maternal counseling regarding future fertility. Study aimed to compare the sensitivity and specificity of ultrasound with colour doppler and MRI in diagnosing placental invasion.

MATERIAL AND METHODS
It was a prospective observational study conducted at Gandhi Hospital, Secunderabad for 18 months (from Aug’17 to Feb’19) which included 40 patients with suspected invasion. As a routine protocol for suspected invasive placenta, USG trans abdominal/trans vaginal, colour Doppler and MRI were done for these patients.

ABSTRACT
Introduction: Histopathological diagnosis of invasive placenta is possible only after delivery. Accurate prenatal identification of placental invasion allows for optimal timing, site of delivery and maternal counseling regarding future fertility. Study aimed to compare the sensitivity and specificity of ultrasound with colour doppler and MRI in diagnosing placental invasion.

Material and methods: 40 pregnant women with clinically diagnosed placenta previa with high risk of invasive placentation based on clinical history were analyzed. Abnormal invasiveness was assessed using established Doppler sonography criteria, further evaluation by MRI using standardized criteria for detection and characterization of placental invasiveness was done. All the cases were correlated with surgical findings.

Results: MRI is more sensitive and specific than USG-Colour Doppler in the detection of invasive placenta.

Conclusion: USG-Doppler and MRI complement each other in diagnosing Invasive placenta. However, MRI is superior to USG in invasive placenta particularly in evaluating placenta percreta and invasion in cases of posteriorly located placenta previa.
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INTRODUCTION
Histopathological diagnosis of invasive placenta is possible only after delivery. Accurate prenatal identification of placental invasion allows for optimal timing and site of delivery, maternal counseling regarding future fertility. Noninvasive techniques that do not use ionizing radiation such as ultrasonography (US) and magnetic resonance (MR) imaging are the modality of choice for diagnosis of invasion. Sonography is the first imaging modality for evaluation of the placenta in the ante partum period. Color and power Doppler techniques permit visualization of placental vascularity i.e. vascular lakes with turbulent flow on colour flow mapping (peak systolic velocity > 15cm/s). MR imaging has the advantage of high soft-tissue contrast resolution; however, it has lower spatial resolution compared with US and therefore is usually reserved as a complementary technique for equivocal findings at US and if additional information is required. Study aimed to compare the sensitivity and specificity of ultrasound with colour doppler and MRI in diagnosing placental invasion.

MATERIAL AND METHODS
It was a prospective observational study conducted at Gandhi Hospital, Secunderabad for 18 months (from Aug’17 to Feb’19) which included 40 patients with suspected invasion.
uterine and bladder serosa; therefore, all MR examinations were obtained with a partially full bladder. Following a localizer scan, sagittal, axial and coronal T2 TRUFI (FOV – 360 mm, TR – 4.2, TE – 2.0, Slice Thickness – 4.5 mm, Phase Oversampling – 38%, Distance Factor – 60, receiver bandwidth – 476 Hz) were acquired during breath hold. Next axial and coronal T2 HASTE (FOV – 360 mm, TR – 1000, TE – 86, Slice thickness – 5mm, Phase oversampling – 0, Distance Factor – 50) were acquired during breath hold.

**RESULTS**

Out of 40 cases 33 (82.5%) had previous history of LSCS (one LSCS in 19, two LSCS in 13, three LSCS in 01), 02 (5%) cases had D&C and 01 (2.5%) case had Myomectomy. 24 women had normally attached placenta which were easily removed during delivery. Of these, On USG–Colour Doppler 22 were predicted correctly (true negative) and 2 were labeled as invasive placenta (false positive) (Table 1). On MRI, 23 were predicted correctly (true negative) and 1 was labelled...
Power and color Doppler have 3 positive and 40 negative findings for evaluating placental invasion. MRI has 16 positive and 24 negative findings.

Table 1: Comparison of USG-doppler findings with surgical findings for evaluating placental invasion

Table 2: Comparison of MRI findings with surgical findings for the evaluation of invasive placenta.

as invasive placenta (false positive). Sixteen patients had surgical confirmation of placental adhesion of which 15 were predicted correctly by MRI (true positive) and 1 was missed by MRI (false negative) (Table 1). On USG – DOPPLER, 14 were correctly predicted as invasion (true positive) and 2 were missed (false negative). Sensitivity of MRI is 93% and that of USG is 87.5%. Specificity of MRI is 95.8% and that of USG is 91.6%. Thus, MRI is more sensitive and specific than USG. The positive predictive value of MRI is 93% and that of USG is 87.5%. The negative predictive value for MRI is 95.8% and that for USG Doppler is 91.6%. On the basis of intraoperative findings, these cases were classified into placenta accrete, placenta increta (n=13), and placenta percreta (n=3). All cases with invasive placenta had low-lying placenta, located in the anterior wall 14 out of 16 cases and posterior wall 2 out of 16 cases.

Among the individual sonographic and color Doppler signs mentioned for detection of invasive placenta, turbulence was the most reliable sign, with a sensitivity of 87.5% and a specificity of 91.6%. However, presence of Doppler flow in these lacunae, even on applying power doppler mode, was less accurate in detecting placental invasion and had a sensitivity of 56% with an overall diagnostic accuracy of 77%. Dilated sub-placental vessels with pulsatile flow and hypervascularity in the bladder-placenta interface was present in 3 cases of placenta percreta and not present in all other 13 accreta/increta cases.

Among the individual signs for identification of invasive placenta in MRI, presence of dark intraplacental bands was the most accurate sign present in 14 out of 15 cases. Markers for detecting invasion of the wall of the urinary bladder in cases of placenta percreta included loss of myometrial lining at the bladder interface on sonography, superior tenting of bladder wall, and bladder interface hypervascularity on color Doppler. Among the various MRI signs of invasive placenta, loss of chemical shift artifacts (“India-ink line”) at the bladder-myometrial interface, was a sensitive and specific sign for detection of vesical wall invasion, identified in all 3 percreta cases. One case out of 16 has been detected incidentally associated with fetal anomaly of omphalocele which is terminated at 2nd trimester by the will of mother.

DISCUSSION

Massive obstetric hemorrhage is still the leading cause of pregnancy-related deaths, and invasive placenta remains one of the major culprits of pregnancy-related bleed. Invasive placenta is a potentially life-threatening condition, which includes various abnormalities of placental implantation in which the placenta invades the myometrium. Placenta accreta involves myometrial invasion, placenta increta involves deep myometrial invasion, and placenta percreta invades through the serosal layer of the uterus with potential invasion of adjacent bladder or bowel loops. However, we have used the term “invasive placenta” as a blanket term to describe any amount of abnormal placental invasiveness, as often done in the literature. Accurate identification of extent of invasion helps the surgeons to plan the nature of hysterectomy and thus decreasing blood loss and morbidity. Ultrasound with color Doppler imaging is presently the mainstay of diagnosis of invasive placenta. The ultrasonographic findings described in diagnosis of invasive placenta are presence of placenta previa, lacunae, reduced myometrial thickness, and loss of retroplacental clear space. However, in our experience, loss of retroplacental space is often found in normal pregnancy, and reduced myometrial thickness, also being a subjective parameter, is unreliable in diagnosing invasive placenta. Furthermore, the interface between the placenta and the myometrium is often focally indistinct, making this sign erroneous. Irregular anechoic areas within the placenta, i.e., lacunae, were the most predictive ultrasonographic sign to detect placenta accrete/increta/percreta in our study with a sensitivity of 87.5% and a positive predictive value of 87.5%. These lacunae, first described by Finberg and Williams, have been suggested to demonstrate turbulence in placental lacunae. Power and color Doppler have been suggested to demonstrate turbulence in placental lacunae in previous studies. Twickler et al. reported that all cases of placenta accreta had turbulent flow in placental lacunae. However, in our study, Doppler could not demonstrate lacunar turbulence in only (12 out of 14) cases. Lacunar turbulence was more reliably detected by moving internal echogenicity on B-mode US, exhibiting 92% sensitivity and 86% positive predictive value. Various studies have demonstrated that color Doppler imaging along with US has a high sensitivity (82–86%) and specificity (92–97%) in the diagnosis of placenta accreta. Besides demonstration of lacunar flow, other color Doppler features that have been described to detect placenta accreta are interface hypervascularity, with abnormal blood vessels linking the placenta to the bladder with high diastolic arterial blood flow, markedly dilated peripheral subplacental vascular channels with pulsatile venous-type flow over the uterine cervix, and lacking the peripheral subplacental hypocoeic zone. All these features were assessed in our study and were found reasonably accurate in the evaluation of placenta accreta. Bladder interface hypervascularity and dilated subplacental vasculature were found in 18.75% (3/16) cases (Figure 1).
Abnormally increased vascularity at the placenta–bladder interface is assumed to represent abnormal vessels linking bladder and placenta in cases of placenta percreta. Interface hypervascularity has a positive predictive value of 100% in detecting placenta percreta. Hence, increased vascularity at the placenta–bladder interface might represent sub placental hypervascularity in the myometrium overlying the bladder. This was also supported by the fact that in all three patients in whom dilated sub placental vascularity was found, bladder interface hypervascularity was also noted whereas it was absent in all the other thirteen patients with only placenta accreta. Moreover, in the study by Chou et al., this sign yielded two false positive results in detecting placenta acrera owing to bladder varices due to neovascularized vessels from previous Cesarean deliveries. 

There have been many studies evaluating the role of MRI in the diagnosis of placenta accreta with reported sensitivities ranging from 38% by Lam et al. to 88% by Warshak et al. Dwyer et al. found no statistical difference in the sensitivity or specificity between US and MRI in diagnosing invasive placenta. MRI features that have been described to detect placenta accreta are increased placental thickness, exophytic uterine masses, abnormal uterine bulging, intraplacental hemorrhage, dark intraplacental bands, intraplacental flow voids, indistinct bladder wall margin, tenting of bladder, thinning of myometrium seen beneath placenta, marked heterogeneity of placental signal intensity, and indistinct placenta–myometrial interface. Of these parameters, placental heterogeneity, uterine bulge, dark intra-placental bands, and intra-placental hemorrhages are the most useful (Figure 2).

Abnormal uterine bulging had a high sensitivity in our study with only 8 out of 15 cases having normal uterine contour. Bulging has been thought to be due to an abnormally tense myometrium created by the placental invasion or by the frequently thickened and hemorrhagic placenta in these cases. Intra-placental hemorrhages were noted in 9 out of 15 cases. 14 out of the 15 patients with invasive placenta were found to have dark intraplacental bands on T2W images which are thought to represent abnormal bands of fibrous tissue. The heterogeneous signal in abnormally invasive placenta could be due to the products of intra-placental hemorrhage and sonographically visible lacunae and was seen in 15 cases. It should be noted that the heterogeneity in placenta accreta is distributed throughout the placenta unlike large subchorionic hematoma which may cause focal placental heterogeneity.

The importance of diagnosing bladder wall invasion is highlighted from one case in which the bladder could not be evaluated properly. Surgery had a fatal outcome due to torrential bleed owing to the presence of unanticipated bladder wall invasion by placenta percreta. Since this painful experience, we learned to assess the bladder wall with a full bladder. In cases of bladder wall invasion, hysterectomy is modified by using wide margins of surgical excision to avoid traversing the highly vascular placenta.

One important feature in the ultrasonographic diagnosis of placental invasion is the irregularity and hypervascularity of the uterine serosal–bladder wall complex. No surrogate MRI feature of this sign has been described in the literature. In cases of placenta percreta with bladder invasion, loss of chemical shift artifact was noted at the bladder–uterine interface. The chemical shift artifact or India ink artifact is seen at the interface of fluid-filled organs or visceral structures with surrounding abdominal fat in TRUFI. Our study revealed that loss of chemical shift artifact at bladder–myometrial interface predicted bladder invasion in 3 out of 15 cases.

A major limitation of this study was the small sample size. Another limitation was that the interpretation of imaging features in MRI was made without blending of the findings of US and color Doppler they were compared retrospectively and results were compared with intraoperative findings only not histopathological findings. The strength of our study was its prospective nature and thus elimination of biases which accompanies in analysis of retrospectively evaluated cohort. In conclusion, this study shows that both MRI and Doppler sonography are useful for detection of invasive placenta. However, MRI is a better predictor of bladder invasion, and invasion in case of posteriorly located placenta previa thus justifying the use of this expensive investigation in its evaluation.

Out of 16 intraoperatively proven cases 14 cases were diagnosed by USG -Doppler and 2 normal cases were misdiagnosed as invasive placenta. Thus, Sensitivity - 87.5%, Specificity - 91.6%, PPV – 87.5%, NPV – 91.6%

Out of 16 intraoperatively proven cases 15 cases were diagnosed correctly by MRI and 1 normal case was misdiagnosed as invasive placenta. Thus, Sensitivity was 93%, Specificity- 95.8%, PPV – 93.9%, NPV – 95.8%

**CONCLUSION**

MRI is more sensitive and specific than USG-Colour Doppler in diagnosing Invasive placenta. MRI has superior role compared to USG in evaluating Placenta percreta and invasion in cases of posteriorly located placenta previa. MRI has higher Positive and Negative Predictive value in diagnosing invasive placenta compared to USG Doppler. Most common finding in USG is presence of multiple intraplacental lacunae and loss of retro placental lucency. Most common finding in Doppler is turbulent flow in lacunae. Most common finding in MRI is presence of Intraplacental T2 Dark bands and heterogeneous signal intensity.
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