Role of 256-Detector Row MDCT in Diagnosis of Pancreatic Lesions
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ABSTRACT

Introduction: Pancreatic cysts can be benign, malignant and borderline type of lesions. It can be cystic or cystic degeneration of solid tumors. This present study was focused on assess the diagnostic accuracy of 256-detector row MDCT in the detection of pancreatic cystic lesions (PCLs).

Material and methods: Images from total of 172 patients were studied on the basis of signs of malignancy.

Results: We analysed 220 PCLs in 172 patients (solitary in 145 and ≥2 in 47; incidence 2.05%). Size ranged from 3 to 132 mm (mean 15 mm); body was the most common location. Intralesional septa were detected in 23/132 lesions (17.4%), wall thickening >2 mm in 6%, enhancing wall and mural nodules in 4.5% and 5.3%, respectively. IPMNS was the most common finding around 83%, while 10.6% were cystadenomas and 6% were others.

Conclusion: As cross-sectional imaging uses frequently, the MDCT contributes structured features for insignia of PCLs.
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INTRODUCTION

The improvement of resolution of cross-sectional imaging makes it mostly used imaging technique daily basis in clinical settings, due to this benefit unexpected cystic lesions of the pancreas reported easily. Therefore it decreases the diagnostic and therapeutic difficulties. Pancreatic cysts can be benign, malignant, borderline lesions or may be due to cystic degeneration of solid tumors. The pancreatic cysts are like pseudocysts, intraductal papillary mucinous neoplasms (IPMNs), mucinous, serous or cystic endocrine tumors. Symptomatic lesions has the higher risk of malignancy, moreover asymptomatic cysts are like IPMNs and mucinous cystadenomas. There was very few data presented about the prevalence of incidental detection of pancreatic cyst on cross-sectional imaging. There is lack of proper imaging criteria for the differentiation of benign and malignant lesions. However the presence of certain signs like thickened walls, increased mural nodules, main pancreatic duct size of ≥5 mm with distal pancreatic atrophy can be correlated to understand the risk of malignancy. So, there is huge need of accurate method to evaluate the suspicious features of pancreatic lesions which is necessary for proper characterization, management and even follow up of pancreatic lesions. The MDCT is recommended as the first-line imaging method to identify t the first-line imaging method to identify the pathologic conditions of pancreatic lesions. MDCT is widespread in clinical setting because of its high spatial resolution. There was less study available regarding the diagnostic accuracy of 256-detector row MDCT imaging in patients with pancreatic lesions. Therefore this present study aimed to evaluate the diagnostic accuracy of 256 detector row MDCT in pancreatic lesions and also report cystic lesions correlated with high risk of malignancy.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Study Population: A total of 1598 abdominal MDCT examinations performed in our department from May 2018 to June 2019. The final imaging analysis was based on following conditions such as; a) no evidence of pancreatic lesions on previous imaging technique, like USG or MRI. B) no prior history of pancreatic lesions or surgical intervention. c) no symptoms suggested of pancreatic disease. d) no evidence of Von Hippel-Lindau syndrome related to risk of pancreatic lesions. The informed consent was collected from all the patients involved in MDCT study.

MDCT Protocols: 256 detector row MDCT were used for all the image analysis and the different protocols were
used based on the clinical conditions such as, tumor staging, undefined abdominal pain and follow up.

**Image Analysis:** All images were studied on a PACS (IMPAX 6.4, Agfa HealthCare NV, Mortsel, Belgium), assuming as cystic an oval or round pancreatic lesion with a predominant or uniform low attenuation appearance. Evaluation of axial images and multiplanar reformatted based on the diagnosis of pancreatic cystic lesions. The location of pancreatic lesions and number of lesions were recorded. Whole study followed the standard guidelines listed in the International Consensus Guidelines for the Management of MCN and IPMN of the Pancreas (2012) (Table 1). Patients sex, age and other demographic data were recorded.

**STATISTICAL ANALYSIS**

All the clinical data and other information with imaging features were saved in an electronic datasheet. The SPSS 20.0 statistical analysis software was used to analyze all data.

**RESULTS**

A total of 172 patients were evaluated in this study. Among them 87 were female and 85 were male. The age ranged between 31to 90 years. 76.74% patients belong to over 60 years age. The mean age was 63 years (Table 2). Among all patients, 132 were analyzed as pancreatic cyst. The size of cyst ranged between 4-145 mm with mean size of 15 mm.

There was no relation found between size of lesions and their location in the pancreas. The presence of inner septa 17.4% was most commonly found with higher risk of malignancy. Communication with ductal system was observed in 7.5%. Wall thickening >2 mm was present in lesions 6%, presence of mural enhancing nodules in 4.5%, and a concomitant dilation of MPD in 5.3%. Based on these the most common diagnosis in our population study were branch type IPMNs 83%; Cystadenomas 10.3% and others 6% (Table 3).

**DISCUSSION**

The frequently uses of high-resolution cross-sectional imaging technique in daily practice has increased the diagnostic accuracy of several lesions, even malignant. Thus MDCT findings reveals pancreatic cyst most common observation in asymptomatic lesions. The lesions has many different characteristics such as differentate benign cyst from premalignant and malignant ones. MDCT is proved to be widely used technique with higher spatial resolution for imaging of several diseases like pancreatic, also preoperative staging of malignancies. MRI has better sensitivity than MDCT in characterization of pancreatic cysts and recorded high frequency for small lesions as less as <10mm. MRCP is proved to be best diagnostic method to detect IPMN and their type, extent and differences of branch type from MCN’s. Hence, diagnosis of PCL, MRI is the gold standard radiological technique. MRI and Endoscopic ultrasound has almost similar accuracy rate. In case of invasiveness, EUS can be used to get cystic fluid and cytopathological specimens by FNAC. In autopic series cystic lesions present up to 25%. Laffan et al. study revealed 2.6% pancreatic lesions in 2382 CT scans. Our data also showed more or less similar report. Therefore MDCT is not strongly associated with depiction rate of unexpected smaller PCLs as compared to older scanners. To increase the diagnostic accuracy of MDCT, it is recommended to maintain the standard protocol. In this study MDCT not performed with pancreas tailored protocol, because patients with known pancreatic lesion were excluded from the study. In our study morphological features correlated with a high risk of malignancy. In this study many patients underwent follow-up or second line studies. Hence MDCT has significant role in patients with incidentally detected PCLs, it can help doctors to make proper decisions. Although there are some limitations in this study. Studies were held on in different clinical settings with different protocols. Hence it is quite impossible to regularized the performance of 256-detector row MDCT in diagnosis of pancreatic lesions. We did not set any gold standard radiodiagnostic technique for comparison of our results. So, no accuracy of MDCT compared to other technique.

**CONCLUSION**

The results from 256-row detector MDCT is not actually correlated with an increased diagnostic of smaller pancreatic cystic lesions, while compared with old scanner data. However, MDCT is proved to be a strong radiological technique for the illustration of morphological features related to higher risk of pancreatic malignancy.
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