Assessment of Adnexal Masses among Indian Women using Ultrasound: A Prospective Study
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ABSTRACT

Introduction: Adnexal lesions are considered one of the most common disorders in gynecology practice. Imaging plays a crucial role in the evaluation of adnexal masses to differentiate malignant and benign lesions in order to provide appropriate treatment. Current trends toward early detection and characterization have increased the need for accurate imaging assessment of adnexal lesions prior to treatment. Ultrasound is the first-line imaging modality for assessing adnexal lesions. Hence, the aim of the present study was to evaluate the efficacy of ultrasonography in patients with adnexal masses and to correlate the results of ultrasound with histopathological findings.

Material and Methods: This was a prospective study done among 100 patients suspected to have adnexal masses was subjected to ultrasonography. Transabdominal ultrasonography (TAS) and transvaginal sonography (TVS) was conducted in clinically suspected cases of adnexal masses and incidentally found adnexal masses when patient is undergoing sonography. Unmarried female patients and paediatric patients of less than 15years of age were excluded from the study.

Results: The most commonly affected age group was 36-45 years. The major complaints were found to be of pain and history of lump in the abdomen. In this study, most common origin of adnexal lesions was from ovaries. On USG, 97.3% of benign masses were sonolucent. Only 2.74% of benign masses presented with low echogenicity with echogenic core. 96.3% of malignant masses had mixed echogenicity.

Conclusion: USG is recommended as a primary modality for diagnosing pelvic adnexal masses.
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INTRODUCTION

An adnexal mass is a tissue mass in the adnexa of the uterus, which refers to the space occupied by the uterus, ovaries and fallopian tubes. These can take the form of different types of malignant or benign masses. In premenopausal women, most adnexal masses are caused by ectopic pregnancy, ovarian cysts, tumours, polycystic ovaries and abscesses. After menopause, more likely causes include fibroid tumours, fibromas and malignant tumours. Adnexal masses represent a common finding among both premenopausal and postmenopausal women. According to International Ovarian Tumour Analysis (IOTA), an adnexal mass is defined as ‘the part of an ovary or an adnexal mass that is judged from an assessment of ultrasound images to be inconsistent with normal physiologic function’. The Adnexa of uterus refer to that anatomical area which is adjacent to the uterus, and contains the fallopian tube, ovary, and associated vessels, ligaments, and connective tissue. The most common locations for this type of mass to grow are in a fallopian tube or ovary. Adnexal masses are among the most frequent pathologic conditions in gynaeologic practice. Accurate diagnosis for adnexal masses is essential for appropriate clinical decisions. The benefits of such an accurate diagnosis include the possibility for a woman with high risk for malignancy to be referred to a gynaecologic oncologist for appropriate surgical staging and/or cyto-reductive surgery, and avoidance of unnecessary surgery in low-risk adnexal masses, Ultrasound is widely used for predicting malignancy in ovarian tumours. The incidence of adnexal masses in pregnancy ranges from 1 in 81 to 1 in 8000 pregnancies. These cysts may be asymptomatic and are found coincidently at the first trimester screening ultrasound or until their size increases the abdominal girth. Pain due to rupture, haemorrhage into the cyst, infection, venous congestion or torsion may be of sudden onset or of a more chronic nature. The primary goal of diagnostic evaluation of adnexal masses is to exclude malignancy. Ovarian cancer is the most lethal of the gynaecologic malignancies, with an overall 5-year survival rate of less than 40%. According to ACOG guidelines (2008), women with adnexal masses have a 5–10% risk of requiring unnecessary surgery in low-risk adnexal masses, Ultrasound is widely used for predicting malignancy in ovarian tumours. The incidence of adnexal masses in pregnancy ranges from 1 in 81 to 1 in 8000 pregnancies. These cysts may be asymptomatic and are found coincidently at the first trimester screening ultrasound or until their size increases the abdominal girth. Pain due to rupture, haemorrhage into the cyst, infection, venous congestion or torsion may be of sudden onset or of a more chronic nature.

The primary goal of diagnostic evaluation of adnexal masses is to exclude malignancy. Ovarian cancer is the most lethal of the gynaecologic malignancies, with an overall 5-year survival rate of less than 40%. According to ACOG guidelines (2008), women with adnexal masses have a 5–10% risk of requiring
surgery, and those who undergo surgery have a 13–21% chance of being diagnosed with ovarian cancer. Usually adnexal masses in pregnancy are imagiological findings, but when detected by palpation on physical exam, ultrasound should be the first step to characterize the lesion. Transabdominal and/or transvaginal ultrasound allows morpho-dimensional evaluation and assessment of mass vascularization and growth, as well as observation of the contralateral ovary and detection of other malignant signs, such as the presence of ascites and peritoneal carcinomatosis. Several ultrasonographic features were associated, in non-pregnant women, with an increased risk of malignancy, such as irregular solid tumour, multi-locular and irregular masses with more than 10 cm, septa thicker than 2–3 mm, presence of more than three papillary projections, exuberant blood flow, and presence of ascites or peritoneal masses. Nevertheless, ultrasound evaluation of adnexal masses in pregnant women has some limitations.

Increased uterine volume makes evaluation difficult after 20 weeks of gestation. USG and Doppler is preliminary and baseline investigation for any mass forming adnexal lesion. High resolution USG method can detect very small lesion at early stage. Doppler study of adnexa demonstrates normal vascularization as well as neovascularity in adnexal tumours and adjacent tissue if it is infiltrated by lesion.

Recent studies have reported that radiological investigations allow detailed evaluation of anatomy and pathology of female adnexa. Specifically, one can document type of lesion, size and site of lesion, its benign and malignant potential. It also provides clue to support specific diagnosis. Therefore, the aim of the present study was to evaluate the efficacy of ultrasonography in patients with adnexal masses and to correlate the results of ultrasound with histopathological findings.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

This was a prospective study which was conducted among 100 patients during the period of march 2016 to may 2018. The study group consisted of mainly patients from different parts of Gujarat and also some from the states like Rajasthan and Madhya Pradesh. The cases were recruited from the Dhiraj Hospital attached to SBKS Medical institute and research centre, PIPARIA VADODARA. All eligible patients were properly counselled and gave informed consent before entry into the study. The women underwent ultrasound only if transabdominal ultrasonography (TAS) and transvaginal sonography (TVS) was conducted in clinically suspected cases of adnexal masses. Incidentally found adnexal masses when patient is undergoing sonography. Unmarried female patients (since TVS is contraindicated) and paediatric patients who were less than 15 years were excluded from the study.

Detailed menstrual, obstetric and medical histories of each patient were taken and general, physical, systemic and gynecological examination was done. Relevant investigations were done according to clinical findings. All of them were subjected to transabdominal ultrasonography with full bladder technique with 3.5MHz probe and then transvaginal sonography with empty bladder technique with 6.5MHz was carried out.

Initially the patients were evaluated with an abdominal transducer to evaluate potential pathologic conditions outside the focal length of the vaginal transducer. For transvaginal scan, transducer was prepared for use by first applying standard coupling gel followed by a condom which was again lubricated with coupling gel before insertion. The transducer was introduced into posterior vaginal fornix when uterus was retroverted and into anterior vaginal fornix when it was anteverted.

Complete pelvic survey was performed. Observations included size, shape and echotexture of the adnexal masses in sagittal and transverse planes. Sassone scoring system was applied to differentiate benign and malignant ovarian tumors. This scoring system takes into account for the inner wall structure, wall thickness, septa and echogenicity giving a scoring ranging from 4–15. The final diagnosis was made by histopathologic examination following total abdominal hysterectomy or biopsy. All pathology reports were reviewed. The findings of sonography were correlated with histologic findings, which were taken as gold standard.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Score</th>
<th>Variables</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Inner wall struc-</td>
<td>Wall thickness</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ture (mm)</td>
<td>(mm)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 Smooth</td>
<td>Thin ≤ 3 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 Irregular ≤ 3 mm</td>
<td>Thick ≥ 3 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 Papillaries ≥ 3 mm</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 Not applicable</td>
<td>Mostly Solid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 -</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
sonographic size in different groups from 5cm to more than 15cms. Approximately 84.93% of benign masses were 5-9 cms in size as compared to 7.40% of malignant masses. 9 patients (12.3%) out of 73 benign masses were of size 10–14 cms as compared to 70.37% of malignant masses. Only 2.73% of benign masses were of more than 15 cms.

In Table no. 5, it was found that adnexal masses were grouped according to the sonographic score varying from 4 to more than 11. None of the malignant masses had score ranging from 4 to 9. It was found that 14 out of 73 benign masses had score of 8. Most of the benign masses had score of 4, 5 and 6. In Table no.6, it was seen that 97.3% of benign masses were sonolucent. Only 2.74% of benign masses presented with low echogenicity with echogenic core. About 96.3% of malignant masses had mixed echogenicity.

In Graph no. 2 it was seen that benign ovarian tumours form the majority of cases followed by right ovarian complex cyst and right ovarian malignancy. In Graph no. 2 it was observed that histopathological examination revealed majority of benign lesions which were serous cystadenoma and mainly malignant lesions were poorly differentiated adenocarcinoma.

DISCUSSION

Tumour size had frequently been identified as a risk factor for malignancy. Correlating the sonographic size with malignancy it was observed in our study that most of the tumours of less than 9cms were benign and only 7.40% of malignant masses. Most malignant tumours were more than
10cms. These findings were in consistent with the study done by Anuradha Khanna et al where author stated that most of the tumours less than 7cm were benign (79.4%) as compared to only 19.4% malignant masses. Also Rule et al found that malignancy was usually seen in large tumours but later in 1989 Feur et al found out that size alone is not a sufficient indicator of malignancy.11,12,13

Following the Sassone scoring system and taking cut-off score of more than 9 as an indicator of malignancy, it was found that 100% of malignant masses with score >9 and all the benign masses had score <9. Zanetta et al also found out that malignant tumour had higher sonographic score than benign masses.14

To calculate sonographic score, certain sonographic features like inner wall structure, wall thickness, septa and echogenicity were studied and scoring was done for each sonographic feature. None of the malignant masses had smooth surface on the inner wall as compared to 67.12% of benign masses. All malignant tumours had solid areas but any of the benign masses showed these features. In the present study, none of the benign masses had score 3 or 4 for inner wall structure but it was seen in all malignant masses. Only 32.9% of benign masses had irregular inner wall structure. In a study conducted by Anuradha Khanna et al it was found out that none of the benign adnexal masses had score 3 or 4 for inner wall structure but it was seen in 175 and 28.8% of malignant masses.

In this study, wall thickness <3mm was seen in 97.3% of benign masses and none of the malignant masses. Thick wall was seen in 2.74% of benign masses. Solid wall was seen in 70.4% malignant masses. Anuradha Khanna et al found out that wall thickness <3mm seen in 75% of benign masses but none in malignant masses. Thick and solid wall was seen in 73.1% and 26.8% of malignant masses but only in 24.8% and 0% benign masses.

Granberg et al found 95% and 70% malignancy in tumours with papillary excrescence and solid components. Zanetta et al also found out that malignancy is associated with masses with thick wall and solid areas. In our study, all tumours with solid components were malignant. In this study, septa was absent in 75.34% of benign masses and 11.11% in malignant masses.11,15 Thin septa were seen in 24.7% of benign masses. Thick septa were seen in 88.9% of malignant masses. Anuradha Khanna et al found out that septa was absent in 68.1% of benign and only 4.7% on malignant masses. Thin septa were seen in 29.6% and thick septa in only 1.1% of benign masses but 2.4% and 73.1% of malignant masses had thin and thick septa respectively. Requard et al also found that majority of masses with thick was malignant and thin septa did not rule out malignancy many malignant tumours had both thin and thick septa.11,16

In our study 3.7% of the malignant masses were sonoluent with low echogenicity, 96.3% of malignant masses had mixed echogenicity and none of benign masses showed mixed echogenicity. In a similar study done by Anuradha Khanna et al found out that 38.2% and 14.8% cases of benign masses were either sonoluent or with low echogenicity but 46.3%, 39% and 14.6% cases of malignant masses presented with low echogenicity with low echogenic core, mixed echogenicity and high echogenicity respectively. Moyle et al also found out that risk of malignancy increases with increase in internal echoes. Many investigators have also related echogenic tissue with the tumour with malignancy.11,17

In this study, out of total 73 benign cases, Sassone scoring by ultrasonography labelled 70 cases as benign and missed 3 cases which were actually benign. This study showed that sensitivity of ultrasonographic Sassone scoring system, sensitivity-96.3%, specificity-95.9%, positive predictive value-89.7% and negative predictive value-98.6%. Sassone et al found that sensitivity of 100%, specificity 83%, positive predictive value- 37% and negative predictive value-100% of sonographic scoring system. Another study by Timor-Tritsch et al found sensitivity, specificity and positive predictive value of sonographic score 94%, 87% and 60% respectively.10,18

**CONCLUSION**

The present study showed that the majority of benign ovarian tumours were serous cyst adenoma and all malignant tumours were found to be serous cyst adenocarcinoma and poorly differentiated adenocarcinoma. Thus, ultrasound is the main diagnostic imaging modality prior to treatment. Improved detection and characterization of ovarian tumour contributes to better diagnostic accuracy and consequently reduction of false-positive findings and invasive procedures, which leads to a significant reduction of morbidity and mortality from ovarian cancer.
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