Computing Tomography Evaluation of Tibial Plateau Fracture and Classification by Schatzker System
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INTRODUCTION

Tibial plateau fractures are rare, account for about 1.2% of all fractures. These delineate a wide range of fractures with severity ranging from non-displaced closed fracture which can be managed conservatively to more complicated fractures extending along the metaphysis requiring emergent surgical management.

In young patients, fractures are more commonly due to high energy trauma, however in older patients, they occur even with less severe injury. Plain radiograph can diagnose these fractures, but computed tomography can better evaluate the complexity of fracture pattern, hence used before pre-operative planning. Study aimed to enumerate the role of computed tomography in tibial plateau fractures and its classification assisting in treatment management.

Material and methods:
One year prospective study was conducted from March 2017 to April 2018. The study included the patients referred to Department of Radiodiagnosis for computed tomography evaluation of tibial plateau fractures. All the patients with history of trauma and plain radiography depicting tibial plateau fracture were included in the study (figures 1-6). Patient with systemic disorder like osteoporosis and other risk factors are excluded from the study. Detailed history and written consent of the patient was taken before hand.

The patients were subjected to computed tomography examination using standard knee protocol. Patient positioned in dorsal decubitus with knee flexed to 15 degrees, affected limb was maintained still and contralateral leg folded. Acquisition was done 1cms above the patella to 1cms below the tibial tuberosity and expanded if necessary to cover the entire fracture leg. Axial 3mm sections were acquired and formatting was done to 1mm section in sagittal and coronal planes in both soft tissue and bone window. The
study was done to evaluate the age distribution, mode of injury, classification according to Schatzker system and to determine the further management. The study was approved by the Institutional Ethics and Research Committee.

**STATISTICAL ANALYSIS**

The result of the study were tabulated and evaluated descriptively by Microsoft excel 2010.

**RESULTS**

In our study, patients aged between 10 to 60 years were include with the mean age of 37.6 years, males(73.3%) more commonly affected than females(27.7%), because of greater exposure to risk situations. Most common mode of injury was motor vehicle crash injury (46.7%), followed by fall from height (20%) and car crash and run over injury (13.3%) (table-1). Schatzkers type II accounted for most common type of fracture constituting around 36.7%. Type V fractures accounted for 20%, was the next most common type. Among the limb affected 16 (53.3%) patients had left limb injury and 14 (47.7%) on right side. Associated injuries were found in 18 (60%) patients (table-2).

Out of 30 patients, 11 with complex fractures required open reduction and internal fixation done as a staged procedure, 14 were treated with minimally invasive plate osteosynthesis (MIPO) technique, 2 patients were managed conservatively with strict immobilization, 2 patients were referred to higher center and 1 patient died due to associated grievous injury.

**DISCUSSION**

Tibial plateau fractures are transient intra-articular fractures of weight bearing joint which can result in joint surface incongruity and ligamentous instability. Effective surgical management can achieve reduction of joint surface,
Computed tomography (CT) evaluation of tibial plateau fractures and classification

Figure-1: A 50-year-old man who had a history of a fall from a height. Coronal CT image shows no depression of the tibial plateau, a finding consistent with a type I fracture. The fracture was managed conservatively.

Figure-2: A 42-year-old man after a fall from a bike. Coronal CT image shows 4 mm of depression, a finding indicative of a type II fracture. The fracture was treated with minimally invasive plate osteosynthesis.

Figure-3: A 45-year-old woman who was in a high-speed motorcycle collision. Coronal CT image shows the medial tibial plateau fracture and medial subluxation, a type IV fracture. Initially, the fracture was reduced with placement of a spanning external fixator because of significant soft-tissue swelling and concern about vascular compromise. Surgical reduction and internal fixation were performed once the swelling had resolved.

Figure-4: A type V fracture in a 60-year-old man with a history of a fall from a building. Coronal CT image shows the bicondylar split fracture. Physical examination showed no evidence of neurovascular compromise. Initially, the fracture was reduced with placement of a spanning external fixator because of significant soft-tissue swelling. Once the swelling had resolved, definitive surgical reduction and internal fixation were performed with double buttress plating.

Figure-5: A type VI fracture in a 43-year-old man with a history of a motor vehicle. Coronal CT image shows the lateral split fracture component of the type VI tibial plateau fracture. Initially, the fracture was reduced with placement of a spanning external fixator because of significant soft-tissue swelling. Once the swelling had resolved, definitive surgical reduction and internal fixation were performed.

Regardless of the system used, computed tomography is better than plain radiography for evaluation of tibial plateau fractures and has been accepted to be the imaging modality for pre-operative planning.

Various classification systems described for tibial plateau fractures but most commonly used are Schatzker, Hohl and Moore and Arbeitsgemeinschaft fur Osteosynthesefragen (AO). Computed tomography has provided a good intra and inter-observer reliability for all the three classification systems. Schatzker is most commonly used in clinical practice because of its ease of use.

In 1979 Schatzker et al. from AP radiographs presented their classification system (table 3) and divided tibial plateau fractures into 6 groups based on fracture pattern, which helped to direct operative versus non-operative treatment.

Type I: split fracture of the lateral tibial plateau
Type II: split depression of the lateral tibial plateau
Type III: central depression of the lateral plateau
Type IV: split of the medial tibial plateau
Type V: bicondylar tibial plateau fracture
Type VI: dissociation between the metaphysis and diaphysis

In our study computed tomography evaluation and classification of tibial plateau fractures with the Schatzker system has provided orthopedic surgeons a better view of fracture planes as well as the severity and assisted in pre-operative planning.

Rufi et al. and Martijin et al. also corroborated computed tomography is superior to plain radiography for assessment of tibial plateau fractures.

Our study also agreed with the study done by Zelster et al, who stated that Schatzker’s system is frequently used in practice because its wide acceptance and ease of use. Schatzker’s classification grades the fracture with increasing severity which indicated both impact of injury and increasingly the worse prognosis.

Cross-sectional imaging results can change the operative management by accurate description of fracture pattern, depression and displacement of fracture fragments, which permit early mobilization of patient as well as reduces post-operative complications. This can be achieved when a surgeon understands the severity and type of fracture which aids in deciding the management.

The above results were similar to study done by Albuquerque et al and A. M. F. El Kharboutly et al.

Tibial plateau fractures are inherent complex fractures, which produce difficulty in classification on the plain radiography regardless of the system used. Computed tomography is better than plain radiograph for evaluation of tibial plateau fractures and has been accepted to be the imaging modality for pre-operative planning.

Various classification systems described for tibial plateau fractures but most commonly used are Schatzker, Hohl and Moore and Arbeitsgemeinschaft fur Osteosynthesefragen (AO). Computed tomography has provided a good intra and inter-observer reliability for all the three classification systems. Schatzker is most commonly used in clinical practice because of its ease of use.

In 1979 Schatzker et al. from AP radiographs presented their classification system (table 3) and divided tibial plateau fractures into 6 groups based on fracture pattern, which helped to direct operative versus non-operative treatment.

Type I: split fracture of the lateral tibial plateau
Type II: split depression of the lateral tibial plateau
Type III: central depression of the lateral plateau
Type IV: split of the medial tibial plateau
Type V: bicondylar tibial plateau fracture
Type VI: dissociation between the metaphysis and diaphysis

In our study computed tomography evaluation and classification of tibial plateau fractures with Schatzker system has provided orthopedic surgeons a better view of fracture planes as well as the severity and assisted in pre-operative planning.

Rufi et al. and Martijin et al. also corroborated computed tomography is superior to plain radiography for assessment of tibial plateau fractures.

Our study also agreed with study done by Zelster et al, who stated that Schatzker’s system is frequently used in practice because its wide acceptance and ease of use. Schatzker’s classification grades the fracture with increasing severity which indicated both impact of injury and increasingly the worse prognosis.

Cross-sectional imaging results can change the operative management by accurate description of fracture pattern, depression and displacement of fracture fragments, which
are most important factor in management.13 Depression of >6mm and widening of >5mm increases the likelihood of injury to lateral meniscus. Depression and widening >8mm demonstrates associated injury to medial meniscus.14

Type IV fractures are associated with popliteal artery and peroneal nerve injury.15 Moore et all stated type V is more commonly associated with soft tissue injury and type VI fractures are more prone for compartment syndrome.16 CT can demonstrate the ligament injury with 80% sensitivity and 98% specificity. Only 2% are missed on CT, which on MRI evaluation had partial or complete tears.16,17 Partial articular fractures are managed with minimally invasive osteosynthesis when possible, complex articular fractures requires surgical management with open reduction and internal fixation. Osteoarthritis is common complication following treatment.18

Limitation

Inability to evaluate the associated intra-articular injuries. MRI is the modality of choice for depiction of meniscal and ligament injury.

CONCLUSION

Computed tomography is widely used as an imaging modality of choice by most orthopedic surgeon for evaluation tibial plateau fractures. It is used in pre-operative planning and also aids in surgical management. Though MRI can depict associated soft tissue injury, usually not recommended because of long waiting time, strict immobility and sedation required in unco-operative patients.
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