Study of Risk Factors and Management of Abdominal Wound Dehiscence
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INTRODUCTION
Dehiscence of abdominal wound mortality rates reported as high as 15% - 45%.³ The incidence is ranged from 0.4% to 3.5% in all laparotomies.³ Whereas our country data stated still higher frequency of burst abdomen with overall rate of 4.8% and 6.6%. Abdominal wound dehiscence is the disruption of laparotomy wound occurring usually between 5th to 8th post-operative days.¹ Wound dehiscence is described as partial or complete disruption of an abdominal wound closure with or without protrusion of abdominal contents. Partial wound dehiscence is defined by separation of facial edges without evisceration and occasionally, fibrin covered intestinal loops. Complete wound dehiscence is defined as full separation of fascia and skin with evisceration of intestinal loops. Post operation wound disruption or evisceration is inescapable responsibility of the surgeon who made the wound. Usually such a complication implies inadequate, preoperative treatment, improper postoperative management and rarely in good hands, poor surgical technique. Frequently a wound disrupts because of the disease, urgent need for operative intervention may preclude satisfactory preoperative preparation of the patient or drainage of abscess or perforation of a viscus may result in continuous and unavoidable contamination of the wound. Wound disruption is defined as a separation of all layers of the incision. It may be partial or complete. Partial when one or more layers have separated but either the skin or the peritoneum remains intact. Complete when all the layers of the abdominal wall have burst apart and this may or may not be associated with protrusion of a viscus evisceration. This study was aimed to elucidate factors contributing to abdominal wound dehiscence.

MATERIAL AND METHODS
This was a longitudinal study. Thirty one patients who developed burst abdomen following various types of laparotomies from July 2016 to November 2017, participated in this study. Inclusion Criteria: ABSTRACT
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INTRODUCTION
Dehiscence of abdominal wound mortality rates reported as high as 15% - 45%.² The incidence is ranged from 0.4% to 3.5% in all laparotomies.³ Whereas our country data stated still higher frequency of burst abdomen with overall rate of 4.8% and 6.6%. Abdominal wound dehiscence is the disruption of laparotomy wound occurring usually between 5th to 8th post-operative days.¹ Wound dehiscence is described as partial or complete disruption of an abdominal wound closure with or without protrusion of abdominal contents. Partial wound dehiscence is defined by separation of facial edges without evisceration and occasionally, fibrin covered intestinal loops. Complete wound dehiscence is defined as full separation of fascia and skin with evisceration of intestinal loops. Post operation wound disruption or evisceration is inescapable responsibility of the surgeon who made the wound. Usually such a complication implies inadequate, preoperative treatment, improper postoperative management and rarely in good hands, poor surgical technique. Frequently a wound disrupts because of the disease, urgent need for operative intervention may preclude satisfactory preoperative preparation of the patient or drainage of abscess or perforation of a viscus may result in continuous and unavoidable contamination of the wound. Wound disruption is defined as a separation of all layers of the incision. It may be partial or complete. Partial when one or more layers have separated but either the skin or the peritoneum remains intact. Complete when all the layers of the abdominal wall have burst apart and this may or may not be associated with protrusion of a viscus evisceration. This study was aimed to elucidate factors contributing to abdominal wound dehiscence.

MATERIAL AND METHODS
This was a longitudinal study. Thirty one patients who developed burst abdomen following various types of laparotomies in Osmania General Hospital from July 2016 to November 2017, participated in this study. Patients were selected randomly. Detailed history with specific reference to previous surgery, pre-op medical condition, Post-op period was verified with available records. Inclusion Criteria
All patients with burst abdomen during the study period have been included.

**Exclusion Criteria**
1. Patients lost the follow up.

The following etiological factors are studied.

- **Sex**
- **Age**
- **Indication for surgery**
- **Incisions – mid line, cheron (Roof top),**
- **Anemia – Hb 11G% or less is taken as anaemia for this study. Hb is estimated by Sahli’s method.**
- **Hypo proteinemia-total proteins 6G% Or less is considered as hypoproteinaemia for this study. Sr. Proteins are estimated by Biuret Method.**
- **Post op wound infection. Culture and sensitivity are studied by**
- **Post op respiratory tract infection is suspected by clinical exam c/o dyspnea and cough on Lung Auscultation: diffuse bilateral crepts.**
- **Chest x ray: Pnemonitis and pleural effusions.**

**RESULTS**

A total of 31 cases were included in the study which were fully managed in osmania general hospital, during the study period and also included the cases that were referred from gynaecological ward.

In this series burst abdomen was common during 3rd, 4th, 5th and 6th decades. In this series burst abdomen is seen in 71% Male and 29% Female and Female to Male ratio being 7:3 showing a clear predilection towards male sex. In this series 94% of cases of burst abdomen followed midline incision (table-1).

The presenting complaints were abdominal pain (54%), fever (19%), vomiting (19%), weight loss (6%), and cough(19%) (figure-1). None of these complaints occurred in isolation. Each patient had at least two of the above complaints, Clinical evaluation revealed fever was the most frequent finding (12 patients). Mothers undergoing caesarean section had physiological abdominal distension which was not considered as a clinical sign (figure-2). Dehydration and tachycardia were the other common physical findings while wasting, abdominal masses and distension of abdomen occurred in few patients,

In this series 86.5% cases of burst abdomen followed emergency operations in which 73% cases operated for peritonitis (figure-3).

In this series 48.4% of cases developed wound infection post operatively. 28.8% of cases had respiratory tract infection. 64.5% cases of burst abdomen followed in patients with Anaemia. When HB less than 11 gm% taken a criteria. out of 31 cases is only 20 cases serum protein measured in which 65% of Cases of 13 cases having Hypoproteinaemia (table-2).
Majority of patients 54%(17) had wound disruption occurring between the sixth to tenth post operative day. There were 9 patients (29%) who developed wound dehiscence between one o five days. There were only two patients who developed disruption after day fifteen (figure-4).

As demonstrated in figure 5 the relaparotomy findings varied. In the descending order were peritonitis, haematoma, loose sutures / knots cut fascia margins, early removal of sutures, and poor wound closure techniques.

**DISCUSSION**

Thirty one patients participated in this study during July 2016 to November 2017. In this series burst abdomen wasfound commonly in Beyond 30years Peak incidence in 30-40 years age group (table-3). Mendoza et al also found more incidence in IV, V and above V decade.

In this series 71% were males. Male to female ratio was 7:3. Lehman et al and Rodriguez-Hermosa JI et al also found more incidence among males. Preponderance of burst of the abdomen in males is thought to be due to the greater ability of the Male to raise his intra abdominal pressure. Abdominal breathing, greater physical activity, less Elasticity of abdominal wall, increase the incidence of burst abdomen in males. Increased incidence in older age explained by associated nutritional disorders, pulmonary complications cancer and other afflictions of the aged.

In this series 77.4% of cases of burst abdomen followed emergency surgery. Mendoza et al found 60% of burst abdomen cases followed emergency surgery which is nearer to present series. In our study 9.7% of cases of burst abdomen developed in patients underwent surgery for malignancy. It was 16% Lehman et al series. Increased incidence of burst abdomen in emergency situation may be due to urgent need for Operative intervention may preclude satisfactory preoperative preparation of patients. Perforation of a viscus or drainage of abscess may result in continuous and unavoidable contamination of wound.

In this series 93.5% of cases of burst abdomen occurred in midline incision. In Lehman series Burst abdomen followed in 87% of cases. Anatomic incision should have preference over the non anatomical incisions or vertical incisions. High incidence of disruptions in wounds of upper abdomen believed that relative tenseness of the muscles and fascia in this area due to attachment to the thoracis cage. Sloan demonstrated that the strain upon a vertical incision produced by lateral abdominal muscles is 30 times that of transverse incision.

In this series 64.5% of case having anaemia. It indicates that anaemia having a major role in producing burst abdomen in our series. Where as Lehman and Alexander series it is seen 11% and 3% respectively.

When serum proteins Lower limit taken as 6gm%, 65% of our series shown hypoproteinaemia. Which is almost equal to Shetty ARS et al series findings. Wound infection present in 48.4% cases of burst abdomen in our series. In comparison in White and Alexander series the role of wound infection in burst abdomen appeared less. Respiratory infection was present in 25.8% of cases of burst abdomen in our series,
which is similar to Alexander series\(^8\) in which 26% cases had respiratory infection. Mahey R et al\(^{10}\) concluded that factors like anaemia, malnutrition, obesity, diabetes mellitus and cough and surgery factors like type of surgery (elective/ emergency), underlying disease and type of incision, type of closure, suturing material and suturing method play important role in development of wound infection and subsequently development of wound dehiscence which correlates well with our study.

**CONCLUSION**

Highest incidence of burst abdomen in the present series was in patients above 50 years. Twenty two patients were males. Etiology is multifactorial. Operations for peritonitis were the most common among all operation for the development of burst abdomen. Midline incision is more prone for development of burst abdomen. Post operative wound infection is also a common predisposing factor. Post operative respiratory infection having cough has a major role in occurrence of burst abdomen. Anaemia appears to be one of the important contributing factor for the development post operative abdominal wound disruption. In majority of patient of post op abdominal wound dehiscence there is associated hypoproteinaemia. Finally it appears that post operative wound disruptions are usually local manifestation of a generalized failure of the healing process.
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