Clinical Profile of Acute Respiratory Distress Syndrome: Two Years Experience at a Tertiary Care Center
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INTRODUCTION
Acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS), and its milder form acute lung injury (ALI), are a spectrum of lung diseases characterized by a severe inflammatory process causing diffuse alveolar damage and resulting in a variable degree of ventilation perfusion mismatch, severe hypoxemia, and poor lung compliance.¹

The lung injury may be direct, as occurs in toxic inhalation, or indirect, as occurs in sepsis. Acute lung injury (ALI) is a less severe disorder but has the potential to evolve into ARDS² The mortality rate in patients with ARF exceeds 40%, and the mortality after ARDS is considered to be in excess of 50%. Recent data, however, suggests a significant decrease in ARDS mortality, although the explanation for this observation remains unclear. The problem with the lack of a uniform definition of ARDS has been addressed by the American-European Consensus Conference on ARDS which has provided a definition for ALI and ARDS for future research.³

The American-European Consensus Conference (AECC) proposed a definition, which is now widely accepted as a simple diagnostic tool for patient characterization and research trial conduct. There are three diagnostic criteria for ARDS: the presence of acute severe hypoxemia (defined as a ratio of arterial oxygen tension over fractional inspired oxygen (PaO₂/FiO₂) <200 mm Hg (26.7 kpa)), bilateral infiltrates on chest radiography (CXR), and the absence of raised pulmonary artery wedge pressure. If the PaO₂/FiO₂ is >200 mm Hg and <300 mm Hg the criteria for ALI are met.⁴

Reported mortality rates vary widely. A pooled mortality estimate from a recent systematic review suggests that the mortality for ARDS is between 36–44%, with little change over the two decades up to 2006. In contrast to this, the ARDS Network clinical trials conducted over the last two decades show a clear decline in mortality among their study populations between 1997 and 2009.⁵,⁶ So this study was designed to study clinical characteristics and outcome of ARDS patients.

MATERIAL AND METHODS
This was retrospective observational study of 60 patients of acute respiratory distress syndrome admitted at Medical Intensive Care Unit of teaching hospital in northern Maharashtra. The study period was between Jan 2015 to Dec 2017. Study was approved by ethical committee of the institution and informed consent was taken before the start of study.

The inclusion criteria were based on the American/European consensus statement for definition of ALI and ARDS,¹

1. Acute Onset
2. PaO₂/FiO₂ ratio less than 200 regardless of PEEP level
3. Bilateral infiltrates seen on chest radiograph
4. Pulmonary Artery Wedge pressure less than 18 mm Hg or no clinical evidence of left atrial hypertension.⁴

Patients who did not require mechanical ventilation were excluded from study.
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A case record form was developed and validated by local experts for collection of data. Ethical approval was obtained from local institution level committee. Patients demographic details like name, age, sex, date of admission, vital signs, laboratory investigations, etiologies, comorbidities, site of referral, maximum APACHE II score, PaO2/FiO2 ratio, duration of ICU stay, mode of mechanical ventilation, duration of mechanical ventilation, maximum PEEP used, maximum tidal volume recorded, duration of steroid therapy, complications and mortality data were recorded in case record form.

**STATISTICAL ANALYSIS**

Statistical analysis was done with the help of Microsoft office 2007. Descriptive statistics like mean and percentages were used for the analysis.

**RESULTS**

We studied 60 patients of ARDS who fulfilled the above-mentioned inclusion and exclusion criteria. Various demographic features and other clinical characteristics are presented in the following tables. As shown in table 1, there were more males than females (48 out of total 60). From table 2, it can be inferred that most of the patients were referred from Emergency department.

**DISCUSSION**

This was a retrospective study of 60 patients of Acute Respiratory Distress Syndrome conducted at a tertiary care teaching hospital. The primary objective of our study was to analyze the clinical characteristics of ARDS patients. As shown above the etiological profile, ventilatory strategies and outcome was also studied in great detail.

The primary targets for ARDS treatment are to ensure adequate gas exchange while minimizing the risk of VILI. Current recommendations for mechanical ventilation via endotracheal intubation emphasize lower tidal volumes based on a patient’s predicted body weight. PEEP remains a mainstay in the ventilatory strategy for acute lung injury, although the method for determining the optimal level of PEEP has not been established.

The main determinant of VILI is the ratio between the size of tidal volume and that of the resting lung volume in which it is distributed: together, they determine the non-physiologic stress (tension generated within the lung tissue) and strain (deformation of the lung). Then, to maintain a low stress and strain we need a low tidal volume or a high resting volume. A seminal study on ventilator strategy in ARDS (the ARMA trial), demonstrated how using a tidal volume of 6 mL/kg (predicted body weight), as compared to the then conventional setting of 12 mL/kg, a 22% reduction in mortality could be achieved.

In our study, as shown in results, gender distribution had male predominance. This finding was similar to study conducted by Hemptinne et al in which 59% of ARDS patients were males. Pneumonia, sepsis were the primary etiological causes of ARDS in our study population. A study conducted by Lu et al also reported Sepsis (30%) as major etiologic cause of ARDS.
Mean PaO$_2$/FiO$_2$ ratio on admission in our patients was 92 and Ziberberg and Epstein found similar mortality rate in patients with PaO$_2$/FiO$_2$ less than 200. Mean stay in MICU was 10.4 days and average in hospital mortality in our study was observed in 60% which was more than that observed during recent studies. In past two decades there are studies from world claiming that mortality has decreased to up to 30%. Study done by Widdermann et al had observed mortality of 30%, which may have been as a result of improvement in the specific management of patients of ARDS such lung protective ventilation as well as in the general management of ICU patients. However in another study in India by Agrawal R et al, the mortality rates were again higher.

**CONCLUSION**

ARDS has high mortality rate (60% in our study). The most common risk factors for mortality in ARDS were: pneumonia and sepsis and low PaO$_2$/FiO$_2$ ratio.
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