Clinical Outcome and Analysis of Total Knee Arthroplasty: A Prospective Study Comparison between Median Parapatellar and Midvastus Approach
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INTRODUCTION
Osteoarthritis of knee is the most common causes of disability in the world, as much as 20 to 40% in the old age people.¹-³ The chronic rheumatic diseases, hip and knee joint osteoarthritis (OA) is a leading cause of pain and disability in most countries in the world.⁴ Osteoarthritis of the knee results from a wearing away of the articular cartilage of both femur and tibia of the joint. Arthritis might be idiopathic, or it could result from trauma, rheumatoid synovitis, pigmented villonodular synovitis, and seronegative arthropathies such as gout, chondrocalcinosis, osteonecrosis, and idiopathic disorders.⁴

ABSTRACT
Introduction: Osteoarthritis is being predominantly a mechanical deterioration that might be associated with mal-alignment of the knee. This prospective study was undertaken to compare surgical parameters as well as clinical and radiological outcomes of median parapatellar to midvastus approaches in staged bilateral total knee arthroplasty (TKA).
Material and Methods: In the present study our aim was to assess the results of clinical assessment and analysis with comparison in median parapatellar and midvastus approach in total knee arthroplasty, using Knee Society Knee Score and Function score, Visual Analogue Scale.
Results: In our study pre-operative mean Knee society score in median parapatellar approach was 26.96 ± 5.29, while in the mid vastus approach it was 28.84 ± 4.49. The postoperative mean knee society score in median parapatellar approach was 50.56 ± 4.45, while in the mid vastus approach it was 54.84 ± 6.19. At 3 months it was 69.60 ± 5.93 in MP approach, while in the mid vastus approach it was 72.60 ± 4.94. At 6 months in median parapatellar approach it was 81.76 ± 5.13, while in the mid vastus approach it was 84.08 ± 5.33. The difference was found to be statistically significant (p=0.000), showing a higher Knee society score at 6 months in comparison to the 3 months and at 3 months compare to 6 weeks which was significant improvement.
Conclusion: The early electro-myographic abnormalities associated with the mid-vastus approach did not result in clinical impairment and they were minimized by blunt dissection within the vastus medialis muscle and it usually resolved. The complete information of the functional innervations of extensor mechanism is important when working with non-traditional approaches in knee arthroplasty. The mid-vastus approach has become our preferred surgical approach for primary total knee arthroplasty.
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joint cartilage. Infection of the joint causes joint cartilage destruction owing to the proteolytic enzymes released by the leukocytes that enter the knee to combat infection where the enzymes are nonselective in their destructive capabilities.7,8 On examination, the patient with arthritis presents initially with stiffness and pain. Characteristically, the patient has stiffness on initiation of gait, which gets better as the knee “warms up. Theremight be an antalgic or painful gait in which the stance phase of the walking cycle is shortened. A knee with varus deformity may demonstrate a lateral thrust due to instability of the lateral collateral ligament and a patient with valgus deformity may show a medial thrust due to an incompetent medial collateral ligament. The survival rate of total knee arthroplasty (TKA) after 10 years has improved to approximately 95%.9,10

The knee might show swelling caused by an effusion and synovitis. Locking might also occur as the bare bony surfaces grate against each other, causing severe pain. Osteophytes may also be palpable on clinical examination. On radiographically, weight-bearing views in AP should be obtained to give the appearance of the knee while it is under stress. A weight-bearing view in full extension in standing shows most of the clinical destruction of the joint. TKA with a postoperative mechanical axis of <3º of varus or valgus alignment have better long-term survival.11,12

Study was done with the aim to compare midvastus approach and median parapatellar approachin terms of pain relief and functional improvement in long term follow up intotal knee arthroplasty and to assess the improvement in parameters were as follows quadriceps function post-operatively, range of movement of the joint and functional score.

Also with the objectives to study the quadriceps functionafter total knee arthroplasty (TKA) by median parapatellar approach versus midvastus approach using knee society score, to assess the functional outcome and blood loss of total knee arthroplasty (TKA) by median parapatellar approach versus midvastus approach clinically and by using knee society knee score, to assess the Patient satisfaction of total knee arthroplasty (TKA) by median parapatellar approach versus midvastus approach and to measure range of movements post-operatively in total knee arthroplasty (TKA) by median parapatellar approach versus midvastus approach using knee society score.

**MATERIAL AND METHODS**

All confirmed cases of Osteoarthritis in Dept. of Orthopedics in Arihant Hospital & Research Centre, Indore (M.P.), from June 2017 to June 2019. Cases including either sex will be studied. Patient will be informed about the study in all respects and written informed consent will be obtained. Follow up period was at 6 weeks, 3months, and 6 months. Institutional Ethical and Scientific Committee of Arihant Hospital and Research Centre, Indore (M.P.) was sought before initiating the study. A voluntary informed consent was taken in writing from every patient/legal guardian, before enrolling any patient in the study.

**Inclusion Criteria:** Primary osteoarthritis of the knee joint, BMI < 35 and patient age above 55 years

**Exclusion Criteria:** Other inflammatory knee pathology, patients with BMI>35, patients with sepsis of the knee joint, and patients with local skin lesions

Patients included in the study underwent thorough history taking, clinical evaluation and relevant radiological examination. Detailed clinical examination was done to see presence or absence of tenderness, swelling and abnormal mobility in the concerned knee. Passive and active range of motion of affected knee joint was noted. Any flexion deformity or instability in knee was noted pre-operatively. Knee circumference was taken at mid patellar level for all patients preoperatively. Amount of varus / valgus deformity, flexion contracture and range of movements of the joint was noted. Distal neurovascular status of the affected limb was checked. The condition of surrounding soft tissues was also evaluated. The pre-operative pain in visual analogue scale (VAS), Knee Society Score and Functional Knee Score was calculated preoperatively for each patient.

**Radiological Assessment**

Radiologically, A/P view (load bearing-standing), lateral views and patella-femoral views were taken. Radiologically following points were emphasized - collateral laxity and subluxation of tibia, presence of osteophytes (femoral, tibial, patellar), quality of bone and bony defects and curvature of the femur and tibia. Medio-lateral and antero-posterior sizing of femoral and tibial component was done.

**Surgical Details**

All TKA were done under spinal anesthesia with the patient in supine position and under tourniquet control. After a median longitudinal skin incision had been made, medial parapatellar and midvastus approach was performed in different patients and patellar resurfacing done. After the joint had been exposed, first tibial cut was taken. Before femoral cuts were made, the ligaments were balanced in flexion and extension. After this step, planning for the femoral cut was done. Balancing of flexion and extension gaps, femoral rotation and femoral joint inclination, the size of the prosthesis and its best positioning could be defined, always in relation to the mechanical axis. After the femoral and tibial cuts had been made, the respective trial components were tested, and the quality of the limb alignment in relation to the mechanical axis in the coronal and sagittal planes and adequacy of the balancing for the planned implants were again investigated. All the components were then placed appropriately and cemented. Thoroughly wash was given and Ranawat orthopaedic centre cocktail was used for post-operative pain, closure done layer by layer. The data were duly recorded and subsequently compared in order to produce this study. All the operations were performed by the different surgeons. Patient was discharged on 3rd post-op day and physiotherapy was started as knee flexion extension from the same day (day of operation) and from next-day full weight bearing with walker support and knee strengthening exercises were started. Suture removal was done on 15th postoperative day.

**RESULTS**

The table 1 shows the distribution of patients according to
age in both medial parapatellar approach and mid vastus approach groups. In median parapatellar approach, 1 (4.0%) patient was in the age group 35-40 years, 1 (4.0%) patient was in the age group 41-50 years, 6 (24.0%) patients were in the age group 51-60 years, 13 (52.0%) patients were in the age group 61-70 years and 4 (16.0%) patients were in the age group 71-80 years. In mid vastus approach, 1 (4.0%) patient was in the age group 41-50 years, 3 (12.0%) patients were in the age group 51-60 years, 14 (56.0%) patients were in the age group 61-70 years and 7 (28.0%) patients were in the age group 71-80 years.

The mean age in median parapatellar approach was 63.68 ± 9.40 years and in the mid vastus approach it was 66.32 ± 7.37 years. The difference was found to be statistically not significant (p=0.275), showing that the mean age in both the groups was comparable. In both median parapatellar approach and mid vastus approach, there were 13 (52.0%) females and 12 (48.0%) males, showing a comparable distribution of patients in relation to sex in both the groups, with a female preponderance in the study [Table 1].

The table 2 shows the distribution of patients according to adverse events in both medial parapatellar approach and mid vastus approach groups. In median parapatellar approach, in 21 (84.0%) patients no adverse events were seen. In 1 (4.0%) patient breathlessness of short duration was seen, 1 (4.0%) patient had moderate pain in night, 1 (4.0%) patient had painful movements and 1 (4.0%) patient had spinal headache. In mid vastus approach, in 24 (96.0%) patients no adverse events were seen. In 1 (4.0%) patient soakage was present. In both the groups, major adverse events were not encountered, but in median parapatellar approach, more number of patients experienced adverse events in comparison to the mid vastus approach patients.

The table 3 shows the comparison of mean function score between medial parapatellar approach and mid vastus approach groups at different time intervals.

**Preoperative:** The mean function score in median parapatellar approach was 20.60 ± 5.65, while in the mid vastus approach it was 21.04 ± 7.15. The difference was found to be statistically not significant (p=0.810), showing a comparable mean function score between vastus approach and median parapatellar approach.

**At 6 weeks:** The mean function score in median parapatellar approach was 40.80 ± 5.34, while in the mid vastus approach approach was 45.00 ± 6.77.
it was 45.00 ± 6.77. The difference was found to be statistically significant (p=0.019), showing a higher function score in the mid vastus approach in comparison to the median parapatellar approach.

**At 3 months:** The mean function score in median parapatellar approach was 59.40 ± 5.07, while in the mid vastus approach it was 80.40 ± 4.98. The difference was found to be statistically significant (p=0.003), showing a higher function score in the mid vastus approach in comparison to the median parapatellar approach. The mean function score was statistically significantly higher in mid vastus approach in comparison to the median parapatellar approach.

**At 6 months:** The mean function score in median parapatellar approach was 80.40 ± 4.98, while in the mid vastus approach it was 84.80 ± 4.89. The difference was found to be statistically significant (p=0.000), showing a higher function score in the mid vastus approach in comparison to the median parapatellar approach. The mean function score was statistically significantly higher in mid vastus approach in comparison to the median parapatellar approach at all the time intervals.

The table 4 shows the comparison of mean Knee society score between medial parapatellar approach and mid vastus approach groups at different time intervals.

**Preoperative:** The mean Knee society score in median parapatellar approach was 26.96 ± 5.29, while in the mid vastus approach it was 28.84 ± 6.49. The difference was found to be statistically not significant (p=0.268), showing a comparable Knee society score between mid vastus approach and median parapatellar approach.

**At 6 weeks:** The mean Knee society score in median parapatellar approach was 50.56 ± 4.45, while in the mid vastus approach it was 54.84 ± 6.19. The difference was found to be statistically significant (p=0.007), showing a higher Knee society score in the mid vastus approach in comparison to the median parapatellar approach.

**At 3 months:** The mean Knee society score in median parapatellar approach was 69.60 ± 5.93, while in the mid vastus approach it was 72.60 ± 4.94. The difference was found to be statistically not significant (p=0.058), showing a comparable Knee society score.

**At 6 months:** The mean Knee society score in median parapatellar approach was 81.76 ± 5.13, while in the mid vastus approach it was 84.08 ± 5.33. The difference was found to be statistically not significant (p=0.123), showing a comparable Knee society score.

---

**Table-5:** Comparison of mean function score between various time intervals in the median parapatellar approach group (N=25)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time Interval</th>
<th>Parapatellar Approach [Mean±SD]</th>
<th>‘t’ value</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Preoperative</td>
<td>20.60 ± 5.65</td>
<td>-17.785, df=24</td>
<td>0.000*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 weeks</td>
<td>40.80 ± 5.34</td>
<td>-16.909, df=24</td>
<td>0.000*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 months</td>
<td>59.40 ± 5.07</td>
<td>-24.249, df=24</td>
<td>0.000*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 months</td>
<td>80.40 ± 4.98</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Paired ‘t’ test applied. P value < 0.05 was taken as statistically significant

**Table-6:** Comparison of mean function score between various time intervals in the mid vastus approach group (N=25)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time Interval</th>
<th>Mid vastus Approach [Mean±SD]</th>
<th>‘t’ value</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Preoperative</td>
<td>21.04 ± 7.15</td>
<td>-16.488, df=24</td>
<td>0.000*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 weeks</td>
<td>45.00 ± 6.77</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 months</td>
<td>64.80 ± 7.43</td>
<td>-18.681, df=24</td>
<td>0.000*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 months</td>
<td>84.80 ± 4.89</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Paired ‘t’ test applied. P value < 0.05 was taken as statistically significant

**Table-7:** Comparison of mean pain score between the two groups at different time intervals (N=50)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Median Parapatellar Approach [Mean±SD]</th>
<th>Mid Vastus Approach [Mean±SD]</th>
<th>‘t’ value</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pain score</td>
<td>2.36 ± 0.99</td>
<td>1.48 ± 0.87</td>
<td>3.326, df=48</td>
<td>0.002*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Unpaired ‘t’ test applied. P value < 0.05 was taken as statistically significant.
at 3 months it was 64.80 ± 7.43. The difference was found to be statistically significant (p=0.000), showing a higher function score at 3 months in comparison to the 6 weeks. The mean function score at 3 months was 64.80 ± 7.43, while at 6 months it was 84.80 ± 4.89. The difference was found to be statistically significant (p=0.000), showing a higher function score at 6 months in comparison to the 3 months. Thus, there was a statistically significant improvement in the mean function score at each follow-up in the mid vastus group. The mean intraoperative blood loss in median parapatellar approach was 258.00 ± 40.00 ml, while in the mid vastus approach was 180.00 ± 45.64 ml. The difference was found to be statistically significant (p=0.000), showing a higher intraoperative blood loss in the median parapatellar approach in comparison to the mid vastus approach.

The table 7 shows the comparison of mean pain score between medial parapatellar approach and mid vastus approach groups. The mean pain score in median parapatellar approach was 2.36 ± 0.99, while in the mid vastus approach it was 1.48 ± 0.87. The difference was found to be statistically significant (p=0.000), showing a higher pain score in the median parapatellar approach in comparison to the mid vastus approach.

**DISCUSSION**

In osteoarthritic knee non-operative modalities like physical therapy, lifestyle changes, medications provide benefits for small duration. Surgical options include arthroscopic debridement, proximal tibial osteotomy or proximal fibular osteotomy, and uni-compartmental and total knee replacement. Total knee arthroplasty is very effective procedure and associated with substantial improvement in function. Old age patients found better relief with the total knee arthroplasty surgery rather than conservative management which leads to relief in joints pain, increase range of movement, correction of deformity and improvement in the quality of life while doing daily activities.

The median para-patellar approach is the most commonly utilized approach for primary TKR. However it disturbs the medial to lateral balance of extensor mechanism and leads to patellar maltracking and fracture. Lateral retinacular release has been utilized to improve patellar tracking but it may be accompanied by wound complication and compromise of patellar vascularity and increasing the chance of osteonecrosis or loosening.

In our study the mean age in median parapatellar approach was 65.36 years and in the midvastus approach it was 67.16 years, in a study Lizaru et al (1984) it was 68.3 years which correlates our study. This is also comparable to the study age group in the meta analysis of Hetaimish et al (69.0) and studies of Sparmann et al (67.4) and Kim et al (67.6). This denotes that the most of the osteoarthritis patient in this particular age group are present in India and also ready for TKA surgery.

A female preponderance in the current study (m:f=24:26) amounting to 52% of all patients correlates well with numerous studies which also show a higher incidence of osteoarthritis in female gender. However in our study there is not a big difference. High gender ratio in knee arthritis due to menopause is a possible cause for the skew in the number of patients towards females. The other important cause particularly to the Indian population is use of squatting position in household work and activities of daily living in both genders. Hame et al (2013) had shown that women are more severely impacted by knee osteoarthritis. O’Connor et al (2007) had also shown the female preponderance in osteoarthritis of knee joint. However, in our study we have applied this Knee Society Knee Score as a benchmarking tool for comparing between knee society score rating system was an outgrowth of the hospital for special surgery rating system. Pre-and post-operative results of median parapatellar and mid-vastus approach in TKA. On statistical analysis, Knee Scores showed significant improvement on 6 weeks, 3 and 6 months,
the reason behind that may be patient appeared late or took late decision for TKA. Kelly et al (2006)\textsuperscript{26} in their study of 51 knee with randomized to treatment with a median para-patellar or mid-vastus approach and it report hospital for special surgery mean score was 47.5 at pre-op and 71.5 at 5 years post-op in mid-vastus approach and it was 40.42 at pre-op and 69.26 at 5 years post-op in median-para-patellar approach.\textsuperscript{23,24}

Keating et al (2006)\textsuperscript{27} study, a total of 100 patients undergoing bilateral simultaneous total knee replacements were randomized prospectively to receive a mid-vastus muscle-splitting approach on one side and modified median parapatellar approach on the other side and show there is less terminal extension in median-parapatellar (59 independently) and in midvastus (66 independently). At discharge extension lag was more in median-parapatellar (2.97\textdegree) than mid-vastus (2.47\textdegree).\textsuperscript{27}

Pre-operative antibiotics were given prior the surgery. All the surgeries were done in operation theatre as per the protocol of replacement surgeries. Bryan et al (1984)\textsuperscript{28} had reported significance decrease in infection rate when replacement surgeries done after giving prior antibiotics. Wilson et al (1990)\textsuperscript{29} also gave the same results as per given by Bryan. Lidwell et al (1986) shown that there is combined effect of laminar airflow and prophylactic antibiotics.\textsuperscript{30}

In our study the mean intraoperative blood loss in median parapatellar approach was 258.00 ml, while in the vastus approach was 180.00 ml. Kelly et al (2006)\textsuperscript{26} in their study there was estimated blood loss was 129.6 ml in Mid-vastus and 200 ml in median-parapatellar approach. Avci et al (2013)\textsuperscript{31} reported that there is less blood loss in mid-vastus approach compare to median para-patellar approach.

In our study, median para-patellar approach so ROM compare to pre-op was excellent but the patient also had delayed in recovery of range of movements. In some obese and most severe OA knee patient we elected the median para-patellar approach so ROM compare to pre-op was excellent but overall it’s in good grading.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Mean intra-operative blood loss (in ml) in our study</th>
<th>Mean intra-operative blood loss (in ml) in study by Matthew et al</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Median para-patellar approach</td>
<td>258 (n=25)</td>
<td>200 (n=29)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid-vastus approach</td>
<td>180 (n=25)</td>
<td>129.6 (n=22)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A comparison between our study and Luzo et al\textsuperscript{34} reveals excellent scores in our case is 68% in midvastus approach and 40% in median-parapatellar approach while in Luzo et al study it show 37.8% patients reported excellent functional KSS. The percentage is comparable and mid-vastus approach patient has better results than compare to median parapatellar approach in early post-op period.\textsuperscript{24} In our study, median parapatellar approach patient had some complication like serous discharge, knee effusion so grading effected and leads to delay in the recovery period. In some obese and most severe OA knee patient we elected the median para-patellar approach so ROM compare to pre-op was excellent but overall it’s in good grading.

One patient in our study in median para-patellar approach effusion was present after discharge from the hospital. Aspiration of the fluid was done and found no infective pathology. After 2 times of aspiration it didn’t appear again but the patient also had delayed in recovery of range of movements. In our study a gradual, but progress is noticed throughout, viz., pre-operative, one month, three month, six month stage. The study was co-related with the study of Luzo et al at six months post-operative stage.\textsuperscript{24}

The percentage is comparable and mid-vastus approach patient has better results than compare to median parapatellar approach in early post-op period.\textsuperscript{24} In our study, median parapatellar approach patient had some complication like serous discharge, knee effusion so grading effected and leads to delay in the recovery period. In some obese and most severe OA knee patient we elected the median para-patellar approach so ROM compare to pre-op was excellent but overall it’s in good grading.

In our study the overall excellent outcome in median parapatellar group was lower than that of mid-vastus approach group. The reason is being that more complicated cases (osteoarthritis) were managed using median parapatellar approach. Very few studies are present where VAS has been used for evaluating post-operative pain in TKA patients The mean pain score in median parapatellar approach was 2.36, while in the mid vastus approach it was 1.48. This shows that patients in mid-vastus approach presented at a slightly better pain relief than median para-patellar approach.

Successful functional outcome of TKA also depend on the post-op physiotherapy. In our patients we started physiotherapy from the next day of surgery with full weight bearing and upto 90\textdegree knee ROM were done and the same protocol was applied for both median para-patellar and mid-vastus approach and we also found that the active SLR and ROM were less in median para-patellar approach compare to mid-vastus approach. Avci et al (2013)\textsuperscript{31} and Keating et al
(2006) study also show the same result with less knee ROM and active SLR in median para-patellar approach compared to mid-vastus approach.

CONCLUSION

We conclude that mid-vastus approach for primary total knee arthroplasty compares favourably with the traditional median para-patellar approach in the early period of follow-up. The Range of movement, active straight leg raise test were better in mid vastus approach post-op compare to median para-patellar approach in early post-operative period. However, up to 9 months of follow up both type of patients with different approach gained the same range of movement. The intra-op blood loss was also less in mid vastus approach. Surgical duration is almost equal in both approaches. The early electro-myographic abnormalities associated with the mid-vastus approach did not result in clinical impairment and they were minimized by blunt dissection within the vastus medialis muscle and it usually resolved. The complete information of the functional innervations of extensor mechanism is important when working with non-traditional approaches in knee arthroplasty. The mid-vastus approach has become our preferred surgical approach for primary total knee arthroplasty.
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